COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

INVESTIGATION INTO WHETHER WATS RESELLERS SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE ULAS ALLOCATION PROCESS

) ADMINISTRATIVE) CASE NO. 328

ORDER

This matter arising upon petition of AT&T Communications of the South Central States, Inc. ("AT&T"), pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7, for confidential protection of certain information filed with this Commission pursuant to its Order of May 1, 1989 and it appearing to the Commission as follows:

On May 1, 1989, this Commission entered an Order directing each party to this action to furnish certain information relating Included among the information to be to their operations. furnished was the identity of the WATS resellers to whom each of the parties provided service. AT&T has petitioned this Commission to protect such information as confidential on the grounds that the information pertains to customers of AT&T who are also competitors of AT&T and of other providers of telecommunication services, and that such information could be used by the customers' competitors to the customers' detriment. AT&T states that such information is not known outside of AT&T, is not disseminated within AT&T except to those who need the information for business purposes, its confidentiality is preserved by appropriate security measures by AT&T, and its disclosure would adversely affect competition. The petition, however, does not state how disclosure would result in injury to AT&T or to its customers.

This Commission being otherwise sufficiently advised, IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. The petition by AT&T for confidential protection of the information furnished in response to Items 1(a) and 1(c) of the Commission's May 1, 1989 Order shall be held in abeyance to allow AT&T to supplement its petition with a statement setting forth with specificity its reasons for believing that disclosure of the information sought to be protected will cause the Company substantial competitive injury.
- 2. If such statement is not filed within 10 days of the date of this Order, the petition for confidentiality shall, without further orders herein, be denied.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 27th day of June, 1989.

Chairman

Chairman

Wife Chairman

ATTEST: